Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) Meeting Notes

Supply

Date: February 18, 2026

I. PURPOSE.

This meeting establishes a recurring battle rhythm of the DoD Supply Working Group to deliver processes and quality data standards that exemplify Accuracy, Conformity, Consistency, and Integrity to our Logistics Supply Community.

II. ATTENDEES.

Component	Primary	Alternate
ODASW(LOG)	☐Jameka Harrell	
DEDSO	☒Dr. Gail Fuller / ☒Steve Nace / ☐Tonja Carter / ☐Jess Gratkowski / ☒Alexa Ferrari	
DAAS	☐Pablo Gomez	☐Gary Woodall
Army	☐Oliver Pryor	☒Tiffanie Dew
Marine Corps	☐Kevin Austin	
Navy	☐Shannon Winters	☒Gerald O'Sullivan
Air Force	☒William "Wes" Wenzel	☐MSgt Ryan
USSOCOM	☐Luke Boutot	☐CW5 Sanders
USTRANSCOM	☒Dan Eisenberg	☐James Decarli
DLA	☒Brian Anderson	☐Eric Flanagan
DSCA		
GSA	☐Shanna Smith	☐George Oakes
FAA	☐Dr. Sarah Owens	☐Hannah McClellan

III. Action Items.

Date Opened	Action	Assigned To	Date Due	Date Closed	Status
12/10/2025	DoD Components provide feedback on the DLMS 867I use cases within your component.	☐ Marine Corps ☑ Navy ☐ Air Force ☐ DLA	2/4/2026		**Open**
12/10/2025	DoD Components provide DEDSO with some APSR POCs to participate in a meeting to discuss new GFP-related Product ID changes for the DLMS 947I.	☐ Marine Corps ☐ Air Force ☑ DLA	1/7/2026		**Open**
1/21/2026	869A vs. 869F use with MILSVCs	☒ Marine Corps ☒ Air Force ☒ SOCOM ☒ DLA	2/4/2026	2/18/2026	**Closed**
2/18/2025	Circulate the newly revised DLMS PRC/CMB appointment template	☐ DEDSO	3/4/2025		**Open**

IV. PDC/ADC Pipeline.

PDC/ADC #	Meeting Added	Title	Status
PDC 1478	8/21/2024	Clarified Price Adjustments via DLMS Status Update	In Development
PDC 1492	1/8/2025	Mandatory Use of Shipment Status and Returns	Internal staffing: Suspense date TBD
PDC 1043H	7/9/2025	Revised Procedural Requirements for Hazardous Property Disposal Release Orders (Supply/Finance)	Pending DLA Adjudication Comment Review
PDC 1510	7/23/2025	Add OM&S Reportable Method to 832N Catalog Data Support (Supply)	Approved 2/5/2026
PDC 1513	10/1/2025	Interim Requirement Revisions to the DLMS 527R (Materiel Receipt) and DLMS 846R (Location Reconciliation Request) Transaction Sets	Internal DEDSO coord w/suspense of 2/9/2026
PDC 1514	10/21/2025	Air Force Implementation of the 940R (Materiel Release) Version 4050	In Development
PDC 1515	12/10/2025	Product Identifier Inventory Adjustments for Government Furnished Property (Supply)	In Development
PDC 1516	12/10/2025	Proposed Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (PDC) 1516 Standardizing Receipt Cancellations (Supply)	Internal Staffing. Suspense is 17 Feb
PDC 1517	12/10/2025	Update to DLMS 947I, Inventory Adjustment to support Government Furnished Property (Supply)	In Development
PDC 1455	12/10/2025	Proposed Defense Logistics Management Standards Change 1455, Removal of Passive Radio Frequency	On Hold

PDC/ADC #	Meeting Added	Title	Status
		Identification References in Defense Logistics Management Standards Publications and Implementation Conventions	
PDC 1520	12/10/2025	Additional Property/Service ID Pair within the 945A Materiel Release Advice (Supply) New (F-35) Meet with Data team to discuss new qualifiers	Internal Staffing for more updates (will remain in internal staffing - comments) Suspense date TBD
PDC 1519	12/10/2025	DLMS 814R Cataloging update to support Action/Task ID	On Hold

V. Agenda Items.

1. **DLMS 869A versus DLMS 869F**

   - (Updated 2/18/2026) DEDSO informed the group that when looking at a data pull of DLMS 869A/869F transactions, both were found to be widely used. Based on the information received and the research that was conducted, DEDSO is closing out this inquiry.
     - ☒ Marine Corps
     - ☒ Air Force
     - ☒ USSOCOM
     - ☒ DLA
   - (Updated 1/21/2026) DEDSO for feedback on how Components are using these transactions, are Components using both transactions?
   - (Updated 1/21/2026)- Army and Navy have provided feedback on their use of these transactions.
   - Army provided via email: 869A vs. 869F uses with MILSVCs:
     - i. Army sends DLMS 869A (AF*) when following up to a requisition and a supply status has been received (i.e. BA, BB, BD, etc.,). If DLMS 869A is received by Army and no open order/requisition exists, then BF status is provided and no order is built.
     - ii. Army sends DLMS 869F (AT*) when following up to a requisition when no supply status has been received. If a DLMS 869F is received by Army and no open order in our system, then we build the order and provide proper status.
   - Navy provided via email- Navy uses both transactions, however, validating if Navy sends to external components

2. **Annual Supply Process Review Committee Appointment Letter Updates**

   - The group was reminded about updating appointments. DAAS asked where DEDSO was on the new formatting of the appointment letter that would allow for multiple PRCs/CMBs to be picked on a single appointment. DEDSO informed the working group that the form is being developed but has not yet been approved. DEDSO will follow up with DAAS and provide the updated template once approved.
   - Looking for feedback on the following Components:
     - ☑ DAAS
     - ☑ GSA
     - ☐ USSOCOM
     - ☐ USARMY
     - ☐ USMC
     - ☑ USNAVY
     - ☐ USTRANSCOM

3. **DLMS 867I (Issue) Transaction Set Use**

- (Updated 2/17/2026) DEDSO reminded those who have not yet provided feedback on the use of the DLMS 867I that this is still an open action.
- (Updated 1/21/2026)—Ms. Dew from Army provided feedback to DEDSO supply via email on DLMS 867i
- ARMY: DLMS 867I: Army does not utilize inbound DLMS 867I (D7*) transactions. We do not need those transactions from storage activities. We do provide outbound DLMS 867I (D7*) to Other Services for scenarios where we store their inventory and issue it out.
- (Updated 12/10/2025) DEDSO requested the supply trading partners assist them by going back internally to determine who exactly is using the DLMS 867I transaction set, and for what purpose.
- (Updated 12/10/2025) DLA mentioned that they are currently looking at end-of-day reporting (DLMS 846I (Asset Status Inquiry and Reporting), which is also tied to some DLA NFRs. Research so far has concluded that there are many inconsistencies and imbalance issues taking place. DLA's preference is that the 867I be sent to all systems when the MRO is sent to a DLA storage activity. DLA's warehousing system is using system logic based on the MRO owner details and correlating it to their owner balances, and that is the basis for DLA storage activities to send the outbound transaction. However, the transaction is not sent out to all owners and there are questions about how often the warehousing system tables listing DLMS 867I recipients are being updated.
- (Updated 12/10/2025) Army informed the group that they drop inventory (decrement the inventory record balance) based on the DLMS 945A (Materiel Release Advice) transaction versus the DLMS 867I.
- (Updated 12/10/2025) As a follow up to that, a DEDSO participant stated that adjusting balances off being informed that property has been released makes the most logical sense. Additionally, DEDSO asked DLA if an MRO owner has an inventory balance, which triggers DLMS 867I (when programmed), but if no physical property exists in that location at the time of the materiel pick, causing a DLMS 945A denial, how does DLMS 867I get corrected? Is the DLMS 846I end of day balance used to update the erroneous DLMS 867I?
- (Updated 12/10/2025) DLA informed that no 846I is sent to correct an inaccurate 867I, but for Navy/Air Force a D7_ reversal is sent.

4. **DoD Components provide DEDSO with some APSR POCs to participate in a meeting to discuss new GFP-related Product ID changes for the DLMS 947I (PDC 1515)**

- DEDSO informed the working group that OASW(A)/DPCAP intends to brief this new DLMS 947I reason for inventory adjustment at the next two LOGIT PCAT meetings. This ensures that the information is shared with the DoW information technology (IT) portfolio community. However, DEDSO believes that while informing the IT portfolio managers helps spread the word, they would still like to continue with their plan on providing an update to the SPRC. The IT system personnel that the SPRC works with on a regular basis, as these specific individuals have a more detailed working knowledge of the inventory adjustment process.

- The following DoW components are still pending the identification of meeting participants:
  - ☐ Marine Corps
  - ☐ Air Force
  - ☑ DLA – (*DLA provided the requested POC listing via email after the meeting*)

5. **DEDSO Summit Topics – PRC Community**
- Registration will be on DEDSO Website, slated for early February
- Looking for topics that impact the Supply Community. However, the DEDSO Supply team is working on a discussion plan that would be a good use of time at the summit. Once the DEDSO Supply team has finalized their plan, they will update the working group.

VI. ACTIVITIES AND NEWLETTER.

DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS Community can be found via the following link: **https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/**

VII. ADDITIONAL DEDSO Supply GENERAL COMMUNICATION.

Do you have a question, hot topic, or an update related to **Supply**; we encourage you to send us an email at DEDSO.Supply@dla.mil.